

Northern line extension

Core Community Liaison Group

30 March 2015
Rose Club, Ascalon Street, Battersea

Attendees:

Name	Organisation
Cllr rep: Jonathan Cook (Cllr JC) - chair	LB Wandsworth
Officer rep: Caryl Davies (CD)	LB Wandsworth
Officer rep: Bill Legassick (BLeg)	LB Southwark
Bob Lentell (BLen)	NLE Community Action
Roland Petchey (RP)	NLE Community Action/ Nine Elms & Oval CLG rep
David Boardman (DB)	NLE Community Action
Mabel Garcia Aranda (MG)	FLO
Jez Porter (JP)	FLO
Emma-Jane Kirtland (E-JK)	Transport for London
Peter Headland (PH)	Transport for London

Apologies: Cllr Williams, Cllr Hopkins, Keith Trotter, Rob McCarthy, Jon Kirkup, Harry Goonewardene, Steve Diamond, Sarah Northey.

	Item	Action
1.	Minutes of the previous meeting held 5.11.2014	
1.1	Noted correct spelling of LB Southwark officer representative: Richard Parkins	
1.2	Otherwise the minutes were agreed as accurate.	

	Item	Action
2.	Northern line extension progress report and presentation	
2.1	<p>JP and MG gave a progress update including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Programme of work for the site – headlines and look ahead • Ground investigations • Preliminary settlement contours • Defects surveys • Settlement Deeds • Measuring and monitoring • Code of Construction Practice Part B • Arboriculture assessment • Construction noise assessment • Acoustic Enclosure • Traffic management • Complaints • Enabling works • Hoardings • Consents • Employment and skills strategy/jobs and apprenticeships • Newsletters and updates • Community engagement strategy <p><i>Settlement deeds</i></p>	
2.2	<p>E-JK stated following feedback on a first letter on settlement deeds TfL sent out a second letter. This letter provided greater clarification of the issues. Settlement deeds were being offered to owners of properties within the “limits of deviation” (the maximum boundary within which the NLE can be built). The deadline for owners to respond has been extended to 31 May 2015.</p>	
2.3	<p>E-JK stated that all properties within the 1mm contour boundary will receive a defects survey. It was noted that the 1mm contour had not been fixed yet as the work was still at concept design stage.</p>	
2.4	<p>RP asked about properties outside the limits of deviation but within the 1mm contour boundary. These properties would not receive an offer of a settlement deed but would receive a defects survey. RP considered these properties should have an offer of a settlement deed. TfL to consider.</p>	
	<p><i>Step plate junctions</i></p>	<p>TfL</p>

	Item	Action
2.5	<p>DB asked what the construction method would be in the section of the tunnel from the shafts where the Tunnel Boring Machines would be lifted to the Step Plate junctions (where the new tunnel is connected to the existing tunnel). JP reported that at the current concept design stage it was unlikely that compensation grouting would be required - this method would involve a further gallery tunnel to inject grouting. Instead the construction method will be Spray Concrete Lining, this advances about 1 metre every 24 hours. JP stated that in this area the tunnel also dips from clay into what are termed the “Lambeth beds” which are sand beds. There will be de-pressurisation to deal with small amounts of water which will be pumped into local sewerage systems.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Measuring and monitoring</i></p>	
2.6	<p>RP asked how many Automatic Total Stations (ATS) and Prisms could be expected on a row of 20 terrace houses. JP stated that the number of ATSs is very much dependent on sight lines for streets. He would expect 2 or 3 prisms on the facades of each property.</p>	
2.7	<p>RP also asked how many of the manual monitoring studs could be expected on a terraced house. JP said enough to ensure adequate monitoring of the building – he expected this to be 2 or 3 studs.</p>	
2.8	<p>BLeg enquired how sewers would be monitored, highlighting the sewer at DeLaune Street. JP stated a combination of measures are used including pre and post works CCTV surveys cameras, and monitoring of any movement in the road surface where there are shallow sewers. This monitoring is carried out in liaison with the utility owner.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Acoustic Enclosure</i></p>	
2.9	<p>JP showed the draft visualisation of the planned Acoustic Enclosures at Kennington Green and Kennington Park. BLen requested that buildings are shown on the visualisations.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Traffic Management</i></p>	FLO
2.10	<p>DB expressed concern about the traffic congestion arising from the Kennington Green works, combined with other</p>	

	Item	Action
	<p>traffic works in the area. BLen also expressed concern at the junction of Kennington Park Place and Kennington Park Road, in particular the safety of pedestrians and cyclists.</p>	
2.11	<p>E-JK stated that the project is working closely with the Planning and Interventions team in the Surface Transport section of TfL. This includes looking at safety audits for pedestrians and cyclists. E-JK agreed to provide a written report for the next CLG. BLeg requested that this be produced 1/2 weeks in advance of the meeting so he could discuss with colleagues in Highways.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Consents</i></p>	TfL
2.12	<p>BLeg and DB highlighted the consent for Kennington Station – application to discharge the planning condition 19 on monitoring passenger flows at the station. E-JK stated that a protocol for this monitoring was being drafted with colleagues and would be shared with the CLGs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complaints</i></p>	TfL
2.13	<p>JP discussed complaints received. DB stated he was pleased that Compass had their lease at Montford Place terminated. JP noted that Compass are a subcontractor for UKPN, they now operate under a stricter monitoring arrangements.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Jobs and apprenticeships</i></p>	
2.14	<p>MG reported on the work on jobs, apprenticeships and business opportunities. The Strategic labour Needs and Training Plan will be available for the next Core Group. DB asked if the Vauxhall Business Improvement District (Vauxhall One) were included in discussions, and MG agreed to check.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Community engagement strategy</i></p>	FLO
2.15	<p>MG stated a six month forward plan was being developed. This would be available for the next CLG.</p>	FLO
3.	Minutes of the Area CLGs	
3.1	<p>PH stated that in the January cycle the four local CLGs had met, however it did not prove possible to have a meeting of the Core Group due to the difficulty of finding a</p>	

	Item	Action
7	<p>date on which the 3 local authority councillors could meet. In the March cycle meetings were scheuled for all the CLGs. The Kennington Park & Newington and Kennington Green CLGs were well attended including representation from new residents. The Nine Elms & Oval CLG was attended by one resident, and no residents attended the Battersea CLG so these two meetings did not go ahead. The previous Battersea meeting was well attended as a number of residents came from an earlier "Building Battersea" meeting held that night. The officers who attended the Battersea meeting therefore proposed that the future meetings are arranged to follow after Building Battersea meetings. It was also proposed that this will be a joint CLG between Thames Tideway Tunnel and the NLE. It was agreed to trial this and to discuss with residents at the meeting.</p> <p>3.2 PH noted that the two Kennington CLGs had an agenda item to have an annual review of the operation of the group. This is part of the Terms of Reference. The feedback was that the CLGs were very useful. Suggestions for the operation in the future were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The presentation being circulated in advance • Limiting the time allocated to the presentation • Having an action log <p>3.3 The Core Group supported these suggestions.</p> <p>3.4 DB enquired about the Nine Elms station over-site development. E-JK reported that TfL were required to go to outline planning for the scheme. A public exhibition was held in March attended by 120 people and people can give their comments through a survey which is open until April 7. The planning application will be submitted in June or July. DB noted the interest in tracking affordable housing in developments and E-JK stated that TfL had been working closely with LB Lambeth on their requirements.</p>	
4.	<p>Future agenda items</p> <p>4.1 Bleg asked if fare zoning could remain as an agenda item. Agreed future items as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic management • Fare zoning 	<p>TfL/Flo</p>

	Item	Action
5.	Dates of future meetings	
5.1	Future meetings are to fit with councillors diaries – they will be held in June, September/October and January. There may be some flexibility to take into account the Battersea Building Battersea meeting.	TfL
6.	AOB	
6.1	FLO showed the NLE video.	
6.2	DB noted the importance of the track bed form and keeping to agreed noise levels (35 dba). Agreed an update will be given to the CLG once further design work has been progressed.	Flo
6.3	RP asked how long the Tunnel Boring Machines would take. JP stated approximately seven months, one TBM would follow a month behind the first. They could travel approximately 20 metres a day.	
6.4	BL reported he had recently received confirmation that the track on the Kennington loop was going to be replaced in July, this was an issue that residents had been campaigning for. Noted this was not part of the Northern line extension work but relevant to the overall discussions of the CLGs.	

Meeting started 7.00 and closed at 8:55.
 Minutes drafted by PH