

22 May 2020 | Downgrade

Fitch Downgrades TfL to 'A+'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings-Barcelona-22 May 2020:

Fitch Ratings has downgraded Transport for London's (TfL) Long-Term Foreign- and Local-Currency Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) to 'A+' from 'AA-'. The ratings have been removed from Rating Watch Negative and the Outlooks are Stable.

A full list of rating actions is detailed below.

The recent outbreak of COVID-19 and related government containment measures worldwide create an uncertain environment for TfL in the near-term. Material changes in revenue and cost profiles are occurring across services, and are likely to continue in the coming weeks and months as economic activity suffers and government restrictions are maintained. Fitch's ratings are forward-looking in nature, and Fitch will monitor developments in the sector as a result of the virus outbreak for their severity and duration. Fitch will incorporate revised base- and rating-case qualitative and quantitative inputs based on expectations for performance and assessment of key risks on an ongoing basis.

Key Rating Drivers

Since our last rating action on 28 April 2020, the government has announced a support package that included extraordinary support grant and incremental borrowing from the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB - statutory body operating within the UK Debt Management Office). We are also now in a better position to make assumptions around the possible impact on TfL's finances over the next five years. Despite the extraordinary support grant we still see a negative impact on TfL's Standalone Credit Profile (SCP), which we have revised down to 'bbb+' from 'a-'.

TfL is rated on a top-down basis under our Government Related Entity (GRE) Criteria. When the assessment of support is strong, the primary driver of the GRE's IDR is the rating of the supporting government. This reflects the application of the four key rating factors under the strength-of-linkage and incentive-to-support framework. TfL's SCP is now four notches away from the UK government (AA-/Negative/F1+), which leads to a top-down minus one approach under the GRE Criteria.

GRE Criteria

Status, Ownership and Control Assessed as 'Strong'

TfL's legal status is that of a statutory corporation subject to local government finance rules and as such it must produce a balanced budget each year. In light of the severe financial impact of COVID-19 on TfL's finances, further discussions will be held with the Greater London Authority (GLA) and central government to enable TfL to deliver a revised budget for the remainder of the year. TfL is a functional body of the GLA and reports to the Mayor of London, who is the chair of the board. The Mayor appoints the board members and develops and publishes a transport strategy reflecting national and local priorities. Two central government representatives will now also be able to attend TfL's board meetings. Since 2004, a prudential scheme for local authorities has been in place, allowing TfL to borrow up to authorised limits.

Support Track Record and Expectations Assessed as 'Strong'

The UK government has demonstrated its support for TfL in the most recent COVID-19 support package. Nevertheless, considering the support has come in the form of two-thirds grant, one-third debt this has led us to maintain our assessment for this factor as 'Strong' rather than 'Very Strong'. The government has supported TfL's long-term commitments in the latest funding settlement (from March 2017), although since April 2017 it no longer subsidises TfL's investment plan. This has included funding for the Elizabeth Line, extensive modernisation of tube services and stations and the transformation of the road network. Since April 2017, the investment grant has been replaced by an equivalent amount of around GBP1 billion business rates transferred to TfL by the GLA under a pilot scheme for further business rates devolution.

Socio-Political Implications of Default Assessed as 'Strong'

Disruption would lead to significant political or economic repercussions. TfL is strategically important for London and overall for the UK economy. Pre COVID-19, around four billion journeys were made on TfL's network every year. TfL would be difficult to substitute in the short- to medium-term, with the transition process likely to lead to severe service disruption. Financial default would not necessarily materially affect the provision of service but would hamper TfL's investment programme, which in turn would mean significant political repercussions.

Financial Implications of Default Assessed as 'Very Strong'

If TfL were to default, it would impair the availability and cost of borrowing for other GREs in the UK. The shock waves from such an event would result in a fundamental review of exposure to the public sector and would inevitably lead to a restriction on future lending. We believe the capital markets (28% of TfL's borrowing), and the European Investment Bank (EIB; 28% of borrowing) would restrict funding.

SCP

Fitch has assessed TfL's SCP under its Revenue Supported Debt Criteria at 'bbb+'. It is driven by Fitch's assessment of 'Strong' revenue defensibility, as despite its limited pricing power, TfL benefits from strong demand with a resilient revenue framework. We expect this to once again be the case through the cycle. Operating risks are assessed as 'Midrange' considering the material capex in the near-term. TfL's financial profile has been assessed as 'Midrange'. The funding shortfall in financial year to March 2021 due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic is expected to be covered by one-third borrowings and two-thirds grants. At FYE19 TfL's net adjusted debt/ EBITDA was 8x, according to Fitch's calculations. We expect this ratio will be volatile over the next five years. As such, and due primarily to the uncertain environment for TfL over year-two and -three in our rating case, we believe the financial profile is better assessed as 'Midrange'.

As a result of lockdown measures, passenger demand has declined 95% in journeys on London Underground, and 85% on London's buses. This has caused an overall operating income loss of around 90% including non-passenger incomes, such as advertising revenue. TfL's interim financial review presents a funding gap of up to GBP1.9 billion in the 1HFY21 and over GBP3.2 billion for the full year.

Key Assumptions

- Reduction in revenue of GBP4 billion for FY21.
- Additional grant from the government to cover losses in the form of two-thirds grant funding and one-third debt (rather than full grant as previously considered).
- Use of GBP0.8 million from strategic reserves for FY21.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- A multiple-notch downgrade of the UK sovereign.
- A change in the assessment of the strength-of-linkage or incentive-to-support factors under the GRE Criteria accompanied by a sustained weakening in the financial profile of TfL's SCP under the Revenue Supported Entities Criteria.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- An upgrade of the UK sovereign.
- Positive change in expected financial profile through the cycle, specifically a sustainable improvement of net adjusted debt/EBITDA ratio below 12x under Fitch's rating case.

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Public Finance issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>.

Liquidity and Debt Structure

TfL's liquidity is supported by ample access to external liquidity. TfL had a cash balance at end-March 2020 of GBP2.1 billion, sufficient to cover the short-term impact of the coronavirus. TfL's financial policies require the company to keep a minimum cash balance equivalent to at least 60 days of operating expenditure (approximately GBP1.2 billion) to provide liquidity to absorb sudden financial shocks or unexpected events. TfL's F1+ short term IDR reflects that of the UK Sovereign, as indicated for entities rated on a top-down basis.

TfL and other local authorities may borrow from the PWLB, which provides easy and direct access to funding at short notice. TfL also has GBP200 million of undrawn overdraft facilities and a history of issuing under its GBP2 billion commercial paper programme.

Summary of Financial Adjustments

- A positive adjustment has been made to Fitch-calculated EBITDA for all years, representing the difference between the total amount of revenue grants received and the total amount of grants allocated to revenue in the statutory accounts.
- From FYE18 non-ring-fenced business rates received from the GLA and used to fund capital have been reclassified to "Other Revenues considered as Turnover" from Capital Grants, since they

could be used to fund operating expenditure if required.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

Public Ratings with Credit Linkage to other ratings

TfL's ratings are linked to the UK sovereign's.

ESG Considerations

The highest level of ESG credit relevance, if present, is a score of 3. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity(ies), either due to their nature or to the way in which they are being managed by the entity(ies). For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

Transport for London; Long Term Issuer Default Rating; Downgrade; A+; RO:Sta
----; Short Term Issuer Default Rating; Affirmed; F1+
----; Local Currency Long Term Issuer Default Rating; Downgrade; A+; RO:Sta
----senior unsecured; Long Term Rating; Downgrade; A+
----senior unsecured; Short Term Rating; Affirmed; F1+

Contacts:

Primary Rating Analyst

Ines Callahan,

Director

+34 93 467 8745

Fitch Ratings Espana. S.A.U.

Av. Diagonal 601

Barcelona 08028

Secondary Rating Analyst

Michael Brooks, ACA

Associate Director

+44 20 3530 1409

Committee Chairperson
Vladimir Redkin,
Senior Director
+7 495 956 2405

Media Relations: Athos Larkou, London, Tel: +44 20 3530 1549, Email:
athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

[Government-Related Entities Rating Criteria \(pub. 13 Nov 2019\)](#)
[Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria \(pub. 27 Mar 2020\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

Additional Disclosures

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)
[Solicitation Status](#)
[Endorsement Status](#)
[Endorsement Policy](#)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or

retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its

agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the [EU Regulatory Disclosures](#) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.