

Northern line extension

Kennington Green Community Liaison Group

21 January 2015
Montgomery Hall, Oval

Attendees:

Name	Organisation
Cllr rep: Claire Holland (Cllr CH)	LB Lambeth
Charles Allen (CA)	Cleaver Square RA
Sarah Northey (SN), Neil Collingridge (NC)	NLE Community Action
Luis Rallo (LR)	FLO
Neil Henderson (NH)	FLO
Mabel Garcia Aranda (MGA)	FLO
Ignacio Pacheo	FLO
Rob McCarthy (RMcC)	FLO
Jon Kirkup (JK)	Transport for London
Peter Headland (PH)	Transport for London

Apologies: Marcus Lyon, Priscilla Baines, Chris Cossey, Cllr Edbrooke, Iago Griffiths

	Item	Action
1. 1.1 1.2	<p>Minutes of the previous meeting held 8.10.2014</p> <p>Agreed as accurate.</p> <p>Matters arising;</p> <p>2.2 diversity monitoring form – PH to send a reminder to members of the Group who had not returned this</p> <p>4.17 secondary double glazing & baseline current noise levels – RMcC stated FLO had appointed an acoustics consultant and baseline information had been included in the noise and migration topic Code of Construction Practice Part B. Noise levels will be predicted for future work activities, and this will be continuously monitored and updates given to the CLG.</p> <p>5.2 PH reported that people attending the FLO drop in sessions in December had been invited to the current cycle of CLGs.</p>	<p>TfL</p>
2. 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	<p>Feedback from the Core Community Liaison Group 5.11.2015</p> <p>The minutes of the meeting were circulated with the agenda.</p> <p>PH noted that the Core Group had agreed the engagement proposals by FLO for the Code of Construction Practice Part Bs.</p> <p>PH reported that councillors requested that the next cycle of meetings be bought forward to March 2015 because of the election in May 2015. Agreed to liaise with the local authorities constitutional officers and plan to meet in March.</p> <p>Noted Kennington Green CLG currently does not have a representative.</p>	<p>TfL/ LB Lambeth, Wandsworth Southwark</p> <p>All</p>
3. 3.1	<p>Northern line extension progress report and presentation</p> <p>JK stated that a local resident had challenged the Transport and Works Act Order granted by the Secretary of State in relation to Kennington Green. The challenge is to the Secretary of State and TfL is an interested party. The Court will hear the case and the Department of Transport will put forward their case and the local</p>	

	Item	Action
	<p>resident will put forward their own case. The Judge will then consider if there is any merit in the objection.</p>	
3.2	<p>JK stated that TfL was working with the Department for Transport to defend this claim and minimise any delay to the project. In the meantime, while waiting for the Courts to hear the case, TfL will not be carrying out any work to the Green.</p>	
3.3	<p>JK noted that cases under the TWAO have to be heard within six months, but because the Northern line extension project is already under way TfL was seeking to have a court date as early as possible.</p>	
3.4	<p>JK reported that the timescales outlined in FLO's presentation may need to be extended because of the challenge, although mitigating measures will be considered to seek to minimise delay.</p>	
	<p><i>Post meeting note: the legal challenge was subsequently withdrawn by the resident</i></p>	
	<p><i>Progress update</i></p>	
3.5	<p>NH and MGA gave a progress update including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall update • Programme of work for the site – headlines and look ahead • Ground investigations • Code of Construction Practice Part Bs • Traffic Management Plan • Complaints • Enabling works • Hoardings • Consents • Employment and skills strategy/jobs and apprenticeships • Newsletters and updates • Community engagement strategy <p><i>Hoardings</i></p>	
3.6	<p>NC asked if the hoardings had to be of a standard height. NH confirmed that they would and that the height of the buildings in the area meant that inevitably some residents would be able to overlook the site.</p>	

	Item	Action
	<i>Ground investigations and defects surveys</i>	
3.7	NH stated that at the current concept design stage it was considered unlikely that compensation grouting (and associated gallery tunnels) would be required.	
3.8	NH discussed the predicted settlement contours at the current stage of design. All properties within the 1mm contour line receiving a defects survey. CA noted the importance of providing such contour boundaries at the CLG for properties along the route away from the Green, particularly at the Step Plate junction. It was agreed to provide this information at future meetings.	FLO
	<i>Measuring and monitoring of buildings</i>	
3.9	SN asked which buildings would be monitored and NH clarified that this would be carried out for all buildings within the 1mm contour.	
	<i>Code of Construction Practice Part Bs</i>	
3.10	NH reported on the engagement which had taken place on the plans with 145 comments received. NH asked how people would receive feedback. FLO will produce a summary of the comments and their response in an anonymised version. They will also provide an individual response to residents where contact details had been left.	FLO
	<i>Traffic Management Plans</i>	
3.11	NH presented proposals for traffic management in Kennington Green. These will be submitted to local authorities by 26 January.	
3.12	NC asked if there would be night works at the site. NH stated that working hours during the week would be 8am-6pm, and 8am-1.00pm on Saturdays.	
3.13	NH stated that there will be ongoing discussions with LB Lambeth and TfL, as this is a very dynamic environment and there are many developments in the area.	
3.14	SN expressed concern if Stannary Street would be used as a route and it was agreed to raise the concern in the	FLO

	Item	Action
	discussions with LB Lambeth and TfL. NH noted that all lorries working on the NLE would have prominent stickers with the project name.	
	<i>Complaints</i>	
3.15	PH stated that TfL/LU were impressed with the professional manner in which the complaint at Montford Place in Kennington Park was dealt with. SN noted that a number of residents had mentioned the problem with the lighting, and it was good to see this resolved quickly.	
	<i>Newsletters</i>	
3.16	SN asked if there had been any difficulty in delivery the newsletter. PH and MGA noted there had been some difficulties in blocks of flats, which was a lesson learnt, and options were being explored to overcome this.	
	<i>Consents</i>	
3.17	CA highlighted condition 13 GH, which was the obligation for TfL not to increase noise and vibration for the section of track starting from the Step Plate junction to Kennington loop and the station. He noted that TfL were required to carry out monitoring to ensure this obligation was met. CA noted that in the existing loop trains run empty, but when complete there would be trains with passengers which could be 30% heavier - CA wished to know if this could lead to increased noise. JK stated that the speed rather than the weight of the train may be a more relevant factor. NH noted that decisions on track form had not been made at this stage of project.	TfL
3.18	JK agreed to report back to the next meeting with an update on this issue.	
4.	Membership	
4.1	PH stated the community engagement strategy aimed to go out to people and could potentially help increase the membership of the CLG.	
4.2	PH and Cllr Edbrooke to discuss membership by Tenant and Resident Associations including Kennington Park House.	Cllr JE/PH

	Item	Action
5.	Future agenda items	
5.1	It was agreed that the presentation was helpful and a good format for future meetings. Agreed to email the presentation after the meeting to residents and to publish on the website.	TfL
5.2	Agreed future items as: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Update on the legal challenge• Traffic Management Plan• Design proposals replacing the need for compensation grouting	TfL
6.	Date of future meetings	
6.1	To be advised after discussions with LB Lambeth.	TfL

Meeting started 7.06 and closed at 8.12
Minutes drafted by PH