

## Northern line extension

### Nine Elms and Oval Community Liaison Group

15 March 2016  
Bolney Meadows Community Centre

#### Attendees:

Name	Organisation
Officer rep: Iago Griffiths (IG)	LB Lambeth
Roland Petchy (RP)	Claylands Green NLEAG
Charles Pender (CP)	Fentiman Road NLE affected residents
Michael Tarrega (MT)	Transport for London
Lochlan Macaulay (LM)	Transport for London
Richard Whitten (RW)	Transport for London
Andrew Fletcher (AF)	FLO
Jesus Campos (JC)	FLO
Paul Andrews (PA)	FLO
Carla Arnold (CA)	FLO

Apologies: Cllr Claire Holland, Caryl Davies, Jon Kirkup, Malcolm Russell,  
Donald Stark, Francis Goodchild

	Item	Action
<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introductions and apologies</b>	
1.1	IG advised that he would chair the meeting as Cllr Clair Holland was unable to attend. MT advised on other the apologies (as above).	
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Minutes of the previous meeting 25.01.2016</b>	
2.1	CP noted that the minutes are very comprehensive and are more of a transcript of the meeting rather than a summary. MT said that this was intentional following	

	<b>Item</b>	<b>Action</b>
2.2	<p>requests in other CLG's for a comprehensive log of everything said.</p> <p>CP asked why one paragraph of the minutes was highlighted yellow. MT advised that this was to highlight an action internally and should have been removed from the minutes.</p>	<b>TfL</b>
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Northern line extension progress report and update</b>	
3.1	<p>JC presented an update from the Nine Elms site, including a focus on using barges at Battersea to remove spoil material by boat, thus minimising amount of lorry traffic. RP asked how many lorry movements per day were going between Nine Elms and Battersea Power Station. JC confirmed that currently approximately 10 return lorry trips are made per day and at peak activity, this may increase to 30 return trips daily.</p>	
3.2	<p>JC advised that a dispensation document has been submitted and approved by Lambeth to amend the Section 61 to allow some works to continue until 12am where required. JC confirmed that noise levels are currently lower than anticipated.</p>	
3.3	<p>JC provided a look-ahead, which includes the delivery of a gantry crane, required for excavation and the installation of pre-cast beams.</p>	
3.4	<p>AF updated the group on changes made following stakeholder feedback, including coordination meetings for logistics between all developers in the area. PA confirmed that there are 83 different projects currently underway in the areas, which are coordinated by TfL. At these meetings, collaboration between worksites occurs to avoid clashes.</p>	
3.5	<p>LM said that Nine Elms on the Southbank collect all information on these projects and work to coordinate different worksites. Nine Elms on the Southbank and TfL are looking at logistics more widely and a GIS planning tool is being created to bring together data and map everything, including hotspot information and strategic planning. This tool should be completed this month. We do recognise this are is challenging due to the number of worksites and, as a result, TfL has a specific team looking into the opportunity to look at delivery holding areas.</p>	

	<b>Item</b>	<b>Action</b>
3.6	AF promoted the school's engagement programme, with a focus on safety and the opportunity for schoolchildren to name the tunnel boring machines. AF also focused on the opportunities for local businesses to get onto the project supply chain.	
3.7	CP asked for an update on site hoardings. IG said this was covered at length in the last CLG but asked if there was anything new to add. MT advised that TfL's new hoarding strategy is not formally signed off. This strategy will be used on hoardings going forward and is therefore not intended to replace the current hoardings on the NLE. CP asked whether there was still an opportunity for the community to input into the hoardings. MT said that the Nine Elms hoarding will remain as they are and if there is an opportunity to use graphics on future hoarding designs, these are likely to come from TfL approved images, especially for new stations.	
3.8	LM confirmed that there is no quota for local jobs on the project, however there is a target of 25%. CP asked whether current roles filled by local people can be provided in a table. LM confirmed that these can be appended to the minutes.	<b>TfL</b>
3.9	CP asked about details of contracts let, as requested in the last CLG. LM advised that this information is commercially sensitive and as such cannot be released publically. He further stated that this is in line with other TfL projects. CP asked whether this could be obtained under a Freedom Of Information (FOI) request; the information is requested so the group can discuss further noise mitigation if the project is under budget. LM said that any member of the public is free to submit an FOI request; however FOI legislation does allow for information to be withheld on the basis of commercial sensitivity.	
3.10	CP asked who the commercial information is reported to. LM said that it is reported to the GLA in a closed discussion as to release publically would put TfL in a challenging position commercially. CP questioned why providing overall figures in broad categories would be commercially sensitive and with the Mayoral election coming up, it is important for the public to know that politicians are managing the finances properly. AF advised that on other previous projects like Crossrail, this information has been requested but was not made available.	

	<b>Item</b>	<b>Action</b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Defect surveys and monitoring</b>	
4.1	CA advised that defect surveys do not need to be carried out in the Nine Elms area until year.	
4.2	CP confirmed that he had a separate meeting with TfL last week on settlement deeds. LM told the group this was to cover some changes to the document text and that TfL agrees that this warrants a review, which we will undertake. CP said there is some text that needs changing as the document is one-sided in parts and will wait for TfL to come back to him. LM said TfL will reply as soon as we can.	<b>TfL</b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Construction noise</b>	
5.1	Covered in presentation.	
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Traffic management</b>	
6.1	Nothing raised	
<b>7.0</b>	<b>Changes to Environmental Statement</b>	
7.1	LM confirmed that nothing has changed in the Environmental Statement since the last meeting.	
<b>8.0</b>	<b>Track form</b>	
8.1	LM said that the track form has not been fully designed yet and we are unlikely to have any further information before the autumn. Design is still progressing, however our current understanding is that noise levels are predicted to be lower than the 35dB limit stipulated in our TWAO.	
8.2	CP asked whether this would be reported as a change from the Environmental Statement if noise levels were to predicted to exceed mitigated modelled levels in the Environmental Statement. LM confirmed this would be the case.	
<b>9.0</b>	<b>Nine Elms station over-site development (OSD)</b>	
9.1	MT confirmed that the planning application for the OSD at	

	<b>Item</b>	<b>Action</b>
	Nine Elms station has received approval from Lambeth, with 84/332 (25%) homes being affordable.	
9.2	CP asked whether the standard set out by Lambeth is higher than this? IG said there is a target of 40%, however this is negotiable as Lambeth is keen to encourage investment in the area and developments must be commercially viable.	
9.3	LM advised that there is a secondary review mechanism will kick in closer to construction, which may revise the quantity of affordable housing based on the commercial value of the property at the time of construction. CP asked if the prices rise, will there be more affordable housing? LM confirmed that this was the idea.	
9.4	CP asked whether the property decisions on land controlled by the GLA are made for commercial reasons or to link into wider policies that benefit London, such as increasing the affordable housing stock. IG said if this development was built in southern Lambeth, the 40% affordable housing target may be achieved, however in Nine Elms, there are a lot of wider things to consider including the need to improve transport provision. It is also good that affordable housing will be onsite at Nine Elms, rather than offset.	
9.5	TfL will bring CGI images of the development to the next CLG.	<b>TfL</b>
<b>10.0</b>	<b>Issues raised by residents and community groups</b>	
10.1	CP asked where things are at with The Northern line upgrade 2 (NLU2) programme as this is surely needed to minimise overcrowding when the new line is operating. LM confirmed that the Northern line upgrade is split into two parts; the first part is almost complete and the second part is continuing, however it is at an early stage of planning and TfL cannot specify any dates yet. CP asked if anything had changed on this since the public inquiry. LM confirmed that our position remains the same as that stated in the public enquiry.	
10.2	CP commented on cones and equipment being stored in the road near the Kennington Green site. IG mentioned that following a site visit by Val Shawcross last summer, regular traffic management meetings have been	<b>TfL</b>

	<b>Item</b>	<b>Action</b>
	established. CP asked whether Francis Goodchild can be invited to these meetings. TfL confirmed they would extend the invite. AF said that a regular cycle peloton goes round the road network to check for cycling issues; everything is satisfactory at Kennington Green. PA agreed and said there were just some minor littering concerns, which are being addressed although this issue is difficult to police.	
<b>11.0</b>	<b>Future agenda items</b>	
11.1	Nothing new raised	
<b>12.0</b>	<b>Review of the operation of the CLGs</b>	
12.1	IG mentioned that the operation of other CLG's are moving to 18.30, following agreement with the group and proposed that the Nine Elms CLG also reverts to this time. This time will be proposed when the invites are sent out for the next meeting but can change if residents prefer the original time.	<b>TfL</b>
12.2	CP asked how many people are invited to this CLG as attendance appears to be low. AF said that this generally happens on projects, especially as regular project updates are provided, but we will review the invite list and report back on the number of people invited at the next CLG. CP agreed that if any residents had major concerns, they would attend the CLG.	<b>TfL</b>
12.3	RP thought that if there is a meeting room available closer to the Nine Elms worksite, it might encourage some other groups to attend. MT said TfL will ask group members whether any other meeting venues would be preferred and will look into any suggestions made by members of this CLG.	<b>TfL</b>
<b>13.0</b>	<b>Dates of future meetings</b>	
13.1	The next meeting will be held some time between mid-June and mid-July. Invites will be sent out nearer the time	
<b>14.0</b>	<b>AOB</b>	
14.1	MT mentioned that we are reviewing the NLE website with a view to providing more overview information for people generally interested in the project.	

Meeting started 7.05 and closed at 8.05  
 Minutes drafted by MT