

Rating Action: **Moody's takes action on UK sub-sovereigns**

Global Credit Research - 25 Feb 2013

Actions follow weakening of sovereign credit profile

London, 25 February 2013 -- Moody's Investors Service has today taken various rating actions on the following UK sub-sovereign entities: (1) Local Authorities and Transport for London; (2) English Housing Associations; and (3) English Universities. The actions follow the recent downgrade of the UK's government bond rating to Aa1 with a stable outlook. For more details, please refer to Moody's press release: http://www.moody.com/research/Moodys-downgrades-UKs-government-bond-rating-to-Aa1-from-Aaa--PR_266844

These rating actions have resulted in a one-notch downgrade for 5 regional and local governments (RLGs, in this case Local Authorities), and the following government-related issuers (GRIs): Transport for London, 26 English Housing Associations and Keele University and De Montfort University.

Further to these downgrades, Moody's has assigned stable outlooks to the ratings of the 5 Local Authorities and Transport for London, while the ratings for Keele University and De Montfort University retain negative outlooks, and the ratings for all housing associations have been placed on review for further downgrade to reflect ongoing developments in the sector.

Moody's has maintained the Baa2 rating of Assettrust Housing Association and the A1 rating of Genesis Housing Association, but has placed both on review for downgrade. The University of Cambridge's Aaa rating with a stable outlook was affirmed.

Please click on the following link: http://www.moody.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBC_150521 to access the List of Affected Credit Ratings, which is an integral part of this Press Release and identifies each affected issuer.

For full details of analytical considerations leading to this action, please refer to Moody's dedicated Special Comment entitled "Key Drivers of Moody's Actions on UK Sub-Sovereign Ratings".
http://www.moody.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBC_150518.

RATINGS RATIONALE

OVERALL RATIONALE FOR DOWNGRADE OF UK SUB-SOVEREIGNS

The downgrade of the UK's sovereign rating has direct implications for sub-sovereign entities given the economic, financial and institutional linkages between the sovereign and Local Authorities, Transport for London, English Housing Associations and English Universities.

-- ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL: A downturn in the economic outlook in the UK has direct implications for UK sub-sovereign budgets through (1) slowing or declining transfers received from the central government, which make up a significant share of their revenue; (2) further potential austerity measures included in the government's next Comprehensive Spending Review (CSR).

--INSTITUTIONAL: The UK national government retains a high degree of control over the sub-sovereign sector via legislation and the different regulatory frameworks in place.

In addition, Moody's has reassessed the likelihood of extraordinary support coming from the sovereign, due to sector-specific developments in the English University and the English Housing Associations sectors.

Group-specific rationales for (1) Local Authorities and Transport for London; (2) English Housing Associations; and (3) English Universities are provided below.

1.) RATIONALE FOR DOWNGRADES OF LOCAL AUTHORITIES AND TRANSPORT FOR LONDON

Moody's decision to downgrade by one notch the ratings of five Local Authorities (LAs) and that of Transport for London (TfL) was driven by the marginal increase of the systemic risk for these sectors, following the downgrade

of the sovereign to Aa1 from Aaa. This largely reflects the close institutional, operational and financial linkages between the central government and LAs and TfL. These ties are further detailed in Moody's Special Comment "Key Drivers of Moody's Actions on UK Sub-Sovereign Ratings".

-- WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN

An improvement in the UK government's credit profile, as reflected by an upgrade of the sovereign rating, could lead to an upgrade for the five rated LAs and TfL. A further weakening of the UK government's credit profile as reflected by a further downgrade of the sovereign rating would likely translate into a downgrade of the UK sub-sovereign issuers' ratings. At the same time, significant reductions in government expenditure following the upcoming CSR, leading to widening financing deficits and substantial increase in debt metrics, or a weakening of the relationship with the central government, including its funding agency the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB), would all result in negative pressure on the credit profiles of Moody's-rated LAs and TfL.

2.) RATINGS RATIONALE FOR ENGLISH HOUSING ASSOCIATIONS

Moody's decision to downgrade the ratings of 26 of the English Housing Associations (HAs) by one notch was driven by a reassessment of the potential provision of extraordinary support from the regulator, and ultimately the government, should any HA experience acute liquidity stress. This largely reflects a somewhat weaker regulatory framework, particularly in light of an evolving situation concerning an HA in financial distress (for further information, please see Moody's Special Comment "Key Drivers of Moody's Actions on UK Sub-Sovereign Ratings"). All ratings in the sector have been placed on review for further downgrade as Moody's continues to refine its view on the likelihood of extraordinary support.

Moody's decision to maintain the Baa2 rating of Assettrust Housing Association was driven by this entity's reduced dependence on government support. However, Moody's has placed Assettrust's rating on review for downgrade, in line with the rest of the sector.

Moody's decision to maintain the A1 issuer rating of Genesis Housing Association (GEN) reflects the rating agency's assessment that GEN's standalone credit has strengthened, due to (1) its improving cash flows, with plans to eliminate its historical reliance on sales to cover interest costs; (2) a reduced risk from hedging; (3) improved liquidity; and (4) stronger controls and budget planning. In addition, Moody's has placed GEN's rating on review for downgrade, in line with the rest of the sector.

-- WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN

An improvement in the UK government's credit profile, as reflected by an upgrade of the sovereign, would exert upward rating pressure on the ratings of HAs. Conversely, a further weakening of the UK government's credit profile, as reflected by a further downgrade of the sovereign rating, would likely translate into a downgrade of HAs. In addition, unmanageable reductions in welfare expenditure arising from the upcoming CSR, or increased implementation risks associated with the introduction of universal credit would result in negative pressure on the credit profile.

Additionally, a downward revision of Moody's assessment of the likelihood of timely government support being provided to an HA experiencing acute liquidity stress, would also exert downward pressure on the ratings.

During the review period, Moody's will assess the likelihood of extraordinary support that might be available for English HAs in case of need. If Moody's concludes that there are support inefficiencies, the rating agency would likely further lower its assessment of liquidity support for the sector and hence limit the uplift currently provided by such support; this could potentially prompt Moody's to downgrade some entities by multiple notches.

3.) RATINGS RATIONALE FOR ENGLISH UNIVERSITIES

Moody's decision to downgrade the ratings of Keele University and De Montfort University was driven by a reassessment of the levels of access to extraordinary support. Although the sector's regulatory framework and oversight remain strong, the regulator has marginally weaker formal powers than in other sectors. In addition, Moody's maintained the negative outlook on the ratings of these two entities, reflecting the ongoing uncertainty in the sector with respect to the full impact of the funding reform and strength of domestic and international student numbers.

Moody's decision to affirm the University of Cambridge's Aaa rating with a stable outlook reflects the institution's extraordinarily strong market position, higher revenue diversification, its significant liquid assets, strong governance

structure and low debt levels. Given the University of Cambridge's strong credit profile, the stable outlook on the Aaa rating reflects Moody's assessment that this entity is not exposed to the risks from increasing competition in the higher education sector to the same degree as its rated peers.

--WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN

An improvement in the UK government's credit profile, as reflected by an upgrade of the sovereign, would exert upward pressure on the ratings of Keele University and De Montfort University. In addition, increased certainty with respect to future student numbers, both domestic and international, coupled with a solid and stable financial performance going forward, could stabilise the outlooks for these two universities.

A further weakening of the UK government's credit profile, as reflected by a further downgrade of the sovereign rating, would likely translate into a downgrade of Keele University and De Montfort University. In addition, reductions in government expenditure on higher education following the next CSR, a further dilution in regulatory support, or a worsening in student numbers would all result in negative pressure being exerted on the universities' credit profiles.

While considered unlikely for the University of Cambridge, a sustained deterioration in the value of its endowment funds or significant increase in borrowing outpacing revenue and resource growth could exert downward pressure on the rating.

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGIES

The principal methodology used in rating Local Authorities was Regional and Local Governments published in January 2013.

The principal methodology used in rating Transport for London was Government-Related Issuers: Methodology Update published in July 2010.

The principal methodologies used in rating English Housing Associations were English Housing Associations published in September 2010, and Government-Related Issuers: Methodology Update published in July 2010.

The principal methodologies used in rating English Universities were Methodology for Rating Public Universities published in August 2007, and Government-Related Issuers: Methodology Update published in July 2010. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of these methodologies.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moody.com.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

The below contact information is provided for information purposes only. Please see the ratings tab of the issuer page at www.moody.com, for each of the ratings covered, Moody's disclosures on the lead analyst and the Moody's legal entity that has issued the ratings.

Please see www.moody.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody.com for additional regulatory disclosures for

each credit rating.

Roshana Arasaratnam
Vice President - Senior Analyst
Sub-Sovereign Group
Moody's Investors Service Ltd.
One Canada Square
Canary Wharf
London E14 5FA
United Kingdom
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456
SUBSCRIBERS: 44 20 7772 5454

David Rubinoff
MD - Sub-Sovereigns
Sub-Sovereign Group

Releasing Office:
Moody's Investors Service Ltd.
One Canada Square
Canary Wharf
London E14 5FA
United Kingdom
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456
SUBSCRIBERS: 44 20 7772 5454



© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.